

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,859	07/15/2003	Minoru Hasegawa	2500.68176	7727	
7590 06/09/2005			EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Dr.			TUPPER, R	TUPPER, ROBERT S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2652	2652	
Chicago, IL 6	0606		DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/619,859	HASEGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert S. Tupper	2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	· ·					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	ecember 200 <u>4</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	nom consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/03.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/619,859 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I and the species of figure 3, stating claims 1-5 to read thereon, in the reply filed on 12/30/04 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 6-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/30/04.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by SAITHO et al (6,597,543).

Note the embodiment of figure 10(b). SAITHO et al shows a thin film magnetic head with lower magnetic pole layer (12), a depression (16) on the upper surface of the lower pole layer, a resin (re claim 2 – see column 5 lines 8-9) non-magnetic mass (18) in the depression, and an upper magnetic pole (141,142a).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,859

Art Unit: 2652

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Page 3

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of

the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

SAITHO et al (6,597,543).

SAITHO et al discloses a thin film magnetic head substantially as claimed.

SAITHO et al differs in not specifying the listed magnetic materials for the lower

magnetic pole.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that these listed materials are well known and

commonly used for pole layers in thin film magnetic heads.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,859

Art Unit: 2652

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the listed magnetic materials for the lower pole in SAITHO et al. The motivation is as follows: these are well known and commonly used for the pole layers in thin film magnetic heads One of ordinary skill in the art would use any such known materials. The SAITHO et al disclosure is clearly not limited to the specific materials listed therein.

8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SAITHO et al (6,597,543) in view of KAMIJIMA (6,549,370).

SAITHO et al discloses a thin film magnetic head substantially as claimed.

SAITHO et al differs in not disclosing that the non-magnetic mass is a metal.

KAMIJIMA discloses that the non-magnetic mass used to set the gap depth can be either a photoresist or a metal (see column 9 lines 6-7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a non-magnetic metal for the non-magnetic mass in the head of SAITHO et al. The motivation is as follows: KAMIJIMA teaches that these are equivalents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S. Tupper whose telephone number is 571-272-7581. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM (first Fri off).

Art Unit: 2652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652